Case 1:07-cv-10091-AKH Document	1 Filed 11/02/2007 Page 1 of 11
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION	21 MC 100 (AKH)
ROBERT ROHAN AND JACQUELINE ROHAN	DOCKET NO.
Plaintiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SHORT FORM") COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE MASTER COMPLAINT
- against -	PLAINTIFF(S) DEMAND A TRIAL BY
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,	JURY
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,	
Defendants.	
By Order of the Honorable Alvin K. Hellers 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master Complaints for	tein, United States District Judge, dated June 22, all Plaintiffs were filed on August 18, 2006.
NOTICE C	OF ADOPTION
All headings and paragraphs in the Master Constant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth herein in addit Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. These are marked and specific case information is set forth, as needed, be	with an 'V' if applicable to the instant Plaintiff(s),
Plaintiffs, ROBERT ROHAN AND JACQUEI GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP, comp	LINE ROHAN, by his/her/their attorneys WORBY plaining of Defendant(s), respectfully allege:
I. PAR	TIES
A. PLAIN	
1. ☑ Plaintiff, ROBERT ROHAN (herei a citizen of New Jersey residing at 823 Prospect Avenu (OR	

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

Alternatively, \(\square\) is the _____ of Decedent

_____, and brings this claim in his (her) capacity as of the Estate of ______

2.

Ca	se 1:07-cv-10091-AKH Document	1 Filed 11/02/2007 Page 2 of 11	
3. Jersey residin Injured Plaint	ag at 823 Prospect Avenue, Pine Beach, tiff: SPOUSE at all relevant times I ROBERT ROHAN, and bring injuries sustained by her husba	nafter the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a citizen of New NJ 08741-, and has the following relationship to the nerein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff s this derivative action for her (his) loss due to the and (his wife), Plaintiff ROBERT ROHAN.	
4. To be supplied	In the period from 11/20/2001 to 2/20/d at a later date. at:	2002 the Injured Plaintiff worked for Volunteer as a	
i	Please be as specific as possible when fi	lling in the following dates and locations	
The World	d Trade Center Site	☐ The Barge	
	<i>i.e.</i> , building, quadrant, etc.)	From on or about;	
From on or about 11/20/2001 until 2/20/2002; Approximately 12 hours per day; for Approximately 93 days total. The New York City Medical Examiner's Office From on or about until, Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total. The Fresh Kills Landfill From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.		Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.	
		Other:* For injured plaintiffs who worked at Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below: From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total; Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:	
5.	Injured Plaintiff		
	✓ Was exposed to and breathed rabove;	oxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated	
	Was exposed to and inhaled or dates at the site(s) indicated above;	ingested toxic substances and particulates on all	
	Was exposed to and absorbed or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at the site(s) indicated above;		
	✓ Other: Not yet determined.		

mjured	1 Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to §405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to § $405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☐ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
☐ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
-	INC.
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	☑ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
\Box The City has yet to hold a hearing as	☑ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	HAULING, LLC, INC.
\square More than thirty days have passed and	☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
(OR)	CORPORATION
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	INC.
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i>) has been filed and a	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
☐ is pending	☐ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
☐ Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
☑ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
☑ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on 10/11/07	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
☐ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC. ☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
adjusted this claim	☑ DAROTA DEMO-TECH ☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND FORT EXCAVATING CORF ☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC. ☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
=======================================	☑ DATE ENTERPRISE, INC.
□ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
□ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	CORP
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
□ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
☐ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC.
□ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EN-TECH CORP
□ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	□ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	□Evans Environmental

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☐ REGIONAL SCAFFOLD & HOISTING CO,

☑ ROBERT L GEROSA, INC

✓ RODAR ENTERPRISES, INC.

☑ ROYAL GM INC.

☑ SAB TRUCKING INC.

✓ SAFEWAY ENVIRONMENTAL CORP

☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

☐ OTHER:

☑ YANNUZZI & SONS INC

✓ WSP CANTOR SEINUK GROUP

☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC.

✓ YONKERS CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC.

✓ YORK HUNTER CONSTRUCTION, LLC

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	C
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

Case 1:07-cv-10091-AKH Document 1 Filed 11/02/2007 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

	ounded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; spe lization Act of 2001, (or); Federal Officers : ; Contested, b	Jurisdi	• • • •
remov	val jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28		
	III CAUSE	S OF	ACTION
of lial			d defendants based upon the following theories a such a claim under the applicable substantive
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	V	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		 ✓ Air Quality; ✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided; ☐ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:); ☑ Other(specify): Not yet determined
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death
		V	Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff
		П	Other:

Case 1:07-cv-10091-AKH Document 1 Filed 11/02/2007 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

	Cancer Injury: <u>N/A.</u>		Cardiovascular Injury: <u>N/A.</u>
	Date of onset:		Date of onset:
	Date physician first connected this injury to		Date physician first connected this injury
	WTC work:		to WTC work:
V	Respiratory Injury: Respiratory Problems	V	Fear of Cancer
_	Date of onset: 4/1/2007		Date of onset: 4/1/2007
	Date physician first connected this injury to		Date physician first connected this injury
	WTC work: To be supplied at a later date		to WTC work: To be supplied at a later
			date
	Digestive Injury: N/A.	V	Other Injury: N/A.
	Date of onset:		Date of onset:
	Date physician first connected this injury to		Date physician first connected this injury
	WTC work:		to WTC work:
	W Te work.		10 W 10 WOIM
	NOTE: The foregoing is NOT an exhau	stive li	st of injuries that may be alleged.
	J - G - G - G - G - G - G - G - G - G -		
	2. As a direct and proximate result of	the ini	
Groui	-		uries identified in paragraph "1", above, the
	nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or		uries identified in paragraph "1", above, the
Groundama	nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or		uries identified in paragraph "1", above, the
dama	nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or ges:		uries identified in paragraph "1", above, the
	nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or		uries identified in paragraph "1", above, the
dama ==== ✓	nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or ges: Pain and suffering		uries identified in paragraph "1", above, the
dama	nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or ges:		uries identified in paragraph "1", above, the
dama ===== ✓	nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or ges: Pain and suffering Loss of the enjoyment of life		uries identified in paragraph "1", above, the
dama ==== ✓	nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or ges: Pain and suffering Loss of the enjoyment of life Loss of earnings and/or impairment of		uries identified in paragraph "1", above, the
dama ===== ✓	nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or ges: Pain and suffering Loss of the enjoyment of life		uries identified in paragraph "1", above, the
dama ==== ✓ ✓ ✓	nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or ges: Pain and suffering Loss of the enjoyment of life Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity		uries identified in paragraph "1", above, the
dama ===== ✓	nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or ges: Pain and suffering Loss of the enjoyment of life Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of		uries identified in paragraph "1", above, the
dama ==== ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or ges: Pain and suffering Loss of the enjoyment of life Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits		uries identified in paragraph "1", above, the
dama ==== ✓ ✓ ✓	nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or ges: Pain and suffering Loss of the enjoyment of life Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits Expenses for medical care, treatment, and		uries identified in paragraph "1", above, the
dama ==== ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or ges: Pain and suffering Loss of the enjoyment of life Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits		uries identified in paragraph "1", above, the
dama ==== ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or ges: Pain and suffering Loss of the enjoyment of life Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits Expenses for medical care, treatment, and		uries identified in paragraph "1", above, the

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

✓ Disability

✓ Medical monitoring

☑ Other: Not yet determined._

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York October 30, 2007

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Robert Rohan and Jacqueline

Rohan

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12th Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the file.

DATED: New York, New York
October 30, 2007

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Docket	No: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
===:	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
	ROBERT ROHAN (AND WIFE, JACQUELINE ROHAN),
	Plaintiff(s)
	- against -
	A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,
	Defendant(s).
===:	SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT
	WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700
	To Attorney(s) for
	Service of a copy of the within
	is hereby admitted. Dated,
	Attorney(s) for
===:	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE:
	□ NOTICE OF ENTRY that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20 □ NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT that an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the judges of the within named Court, at on 20 at M. Dated, Yours, etc.,
	WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP